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Dear Developers,

Firstly, we all agreed that the work you have done was excellent and the presentation shows you were well-prepared. We are very impressed that your team delivered all but one of the user stories according to plan. The features you have delivered look good and all that were completed passed acceptance criteria. There was one feature included in the sprint plan that was not complete and this will be discussed below. We also have a few recommendations on features that should be implemented by the end of the second release.

In terms of our expectations most were met, however, user story Book Information Paging (FOUSIX-52) was not complete, meaning that there is no page for individual books. This is an understandable problem, as it is difficult to accurately judge how much it is possible to get done in a certain amount of time, however, we will require that this feature is fully complete by the end of the second release. There were no further deviations from the sprint plan and all other features were completed to a satisfactory level.

Our team also discussed the new features that we think your team should be capable of implementing within the next sprint. We would like you to add a wish list so that users can add books which they might like to borrow. Hopefully this new feature will attract more potential users to the site. In addition, we would like to see the website available online by the end of the second sprint so that we can be sure that you have the ability to finish this project in its entirety.

The presentation was made at an acceptable level but could be more formal for the next sprint review. The system architecture was discussed in the previous meeting and it appears to be satisfactory. There were no technical difficulties in the demonstration and no defects in the product. We are extremely confident that by the end of the second release you will have a working application built to an extremely high standard.

The presentation would be more coherent if each of your team members spoke about a particular feature as it was a bit confusing having two different people speaking about the same feature. Secondly, we still suggest that all of team members should be able to answer our questions. This was something we suggested in our last business letter but it still seemed that only a small number of the group were able to confidently answer questions posed to them about the product. It appears that the presentation was loosely prepared in advance, which reflects two aspects. Firstly you knew which features to talk about in which order and how this corresponded to the release and sprint plans. That shows you were confident with your product and everyone was familiar with this product, which makes our team trust you and your development. However, we would appreciate it if you gave a more professional presentation, as we take our product seriously and hope that you are treating it the same way. In future we expect a higher level of professionalism from your team.

Looking at your presentation overall, we think your team was confident and no major problems were encountered during the whole demonstration. We’re satisfied with how you answered questions about the sprint plan and the feasibility of having your website online was answered confidently and clearly. We have found that IS students in your team still don’t have enough involvement in the project as only the CS students presented and answered questions, and we would like to see you working together more in the future. As mentioned above, one of the user stories was incomplete but we are confident that this, as well as our recommendations of adding a wish list and hosting the website online, will be complete by the end of the second release. We hope that you will take our advice regarding presentation on board for the next presentation and look forward to our next meeting. We are extremely confident in your abilities and are eagerly anticipating the second release of this product.

Best regards,

Group 43